

# El problema inverso en la astrofísica de hoyos negros

Francisco Siddhartha Guzmán Murillo

Instituto de Fisica y Matematicas UMSNH

CNA 2018 9.oc.2018









# Outline



Description of an inverse problem

Various examples

Brutal force vs intelligence methods

Inverse / Direct problems involving BHs

Binary black holes from the strain Kicked black hole Wandering black hole Intrinsic parameters out of an image



### **Direct and Inverse problem**

Consider a phenomenon described by

given x define F(x) =: y

Here x may represent initial conditions or physical parameters.

And F represents the model or theory assumed to rule the phenomenon.

Direct problem. Given x and F calculate y. Easy y = F(x).

Inverse problem of the cause. Given F and y, find x that produces y. It could be  $x = F^{-1}(y)$ 

Inverse problem of the model. Given y and values x, find F such that F(x) produces y.

Inverse problem of the cause and the model. Given y, find F and x such that F(x) produces y.





# Examples of direct problem



Given m, b, k, x(0) and  $\dot{x}(0)$  determine x(t) when it obeys

$$m\ddot{x} + b\dot{x} + kx = 0$$

Given the initial position  $\mathbf{x}(0)$  of a particle of mass mmoving around an object of mass M, calculate  $\mathbf{x}(t)$  for t > 0, provided

$$\mathbf{F} = G \frac{Mm}{|\mathbf{x}|^3} \mathbf{x} = m\ddot{\mathbf{x}}$$

These are simple PVIs



### Examples of Inverse problems / data fitting

### Kepler's problem / Newton problem









Inverse problem

Of the cause provided Newton's law
Of the model as it happened to be



# Example: Sgr A\*









### Finding a law and initial conditions: linear problems







$$m\ddot{x} = -kx$$













### Solving Inverse problems depend A LOT on *F* (when there is one): see non-linear cases



Sistema de Lorentz r=50

Sistema de Lorentz r=15

Sistema de Lorentz pa

Sistema de Lorentz par

 $d_t = 0.001$ 

 $x_0 = y_0 = z_0 = 5.0$ 

x(t)

25 20

10 -5 -0 - $\overline{xt}$ 

a(y -

= xy - bz

dx

=

 $\frac{dz}{dt}$ 

z(t) 15 10

 $\frac{dy}{dt}$ 



Imagine when the system is ruled by PDEs: computer power, time, time, time

ΛΛΛΛΜ



### Modeling data vs data science











$$\begin{split} ds^2 &= -s^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{ij} (dz^i + \beta^i dt) (dz^j + \beta^j dt) \\ \hline \phi &= \frac{1}{2^2} l^2 \gamma - \dot{\gamma}_{ij} = e^{-i\phi} \gamma_{ij} \\ K &= \gamma_i K^{ij} - \dot{A}_{ij} = e^{-i\phi} (K_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_i r K) \\ \dot{\Gamma}^i &= \gamma^{ij} \dot{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = -\partial_i \dot{\gamma}^{ij} \\ (\partial_i - \mathcal{L}_i) \dot{\gamma}_{ij} &= -\partial_i \dot{\gamma}^{ij} \\ (\partial_i - \mathcal{L}_i) \dot{\gamma}_{ij} = -ic \dot{A}_{ij} \\ (\partial_i - \mathcal{L}_i) \dot{\phi}_{ij} = e^{-i\phi} (-D_i D_j \phi - \phi R_{ij})^{40} - \sigma (K \dot{A}_{ij} - 2 \dot{A}_{ik} \dot{A}^{i}_{j}) \\ (\dot{\theta}_i - \mathcal{L}_j) \dot{K} &= -D^i D_i \alpha + \alpha (\dot{A}_{ij} \dot{A}^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} K^2) \\ \dot{\theta}_k^i - \mathcal{L}_k (\dot{K}^a - \partial_i \dot{\alpha} \partial_i \phi - \frac{1}{2} \dot{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_j K) - 2 \dot{A}^{ij} \partial_j \phi + \dot{\gamma}^{ai} \partial_i \partial_i \phi \mathcal{F} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \dot{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_i \partial_i \partial_i \dot{\theta}^{ij} + \beta^{ij} \partial_j \dot{\eta}^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_i \beta^{ij} - (\chi + \frac{1}{2}) (\dot{\Gamma}^i - \dot{\gamma}^{ai} \Gamma_{ij}) \partial_i \phi \mathcal{F} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{ij} \partial_i \partial_i \partial_i \dot{\theta}^{ij} + \beta^{ij} \partial_j \dot{\eta}^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_i \beta^{ij} - (\chi + \frac{1}{2}) (\dot{\Gamma}^i - \dot{\gamma}^{ai} \Gamma_{ij}) \partial_i \phi \mathcal{F} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \gamma^{ij} \partial_i \partial_i \partial_i \dot{\theta}^{ij} + \beta^{ij} \partial_i \dot{\eta}^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} \dot{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_j \partial_i \mathcal{F} \end{split}$$

Solving Inverse problems depends A LOT on F (when there is one) On the capacity to solve direct problems



And *F* is really challenging as one approaches a realistic model

Systems ruled by ODEs are actually friendly, one can still run millions of combinations of parameters and initial conditions

Then estimate one of the combinations with the minimum error

 $ds^2 = -a^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{id} (dz^i + \beta^i dt) (dz^j + \beta^j dt)$  $A_0 = e^{-i\phi} (K_0 - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_0 K)$  $(B_i - E_i)S_{ii} = -3\alpha \tilde{A}_i$  $(\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_d)s = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha X$  $(\hat{\alpha}_t - \mathcal{L}_t)\hat{A}_{t1} = e^{-i\phi}(-D_tD_t\alpha - \alpha R_t)^{TF} - \alpha(K\hat{A}_{t2} - 2\hat{A}_{t2}\hat{A}_{t2}^{T})$  $(\partial_t - \mathcal{L}_t)K = -D^t D_t \alpha + \alpha \left(A_\alpha A^{(\dagger)} - \frac{1}{2}K^2\right)$  $\partial_t \hat{I}^* = 2\alpha \left( \hat{I}^*_{,*} \hat{A}^* - \alpha \hat{A}^* \partial_t \phi - \frac{3}{2} \hat{\gamma}^* \partial_t K \right) - 2 \hat{A}^* \partial_t \phi + \hat{\gamma}^* \partial_t \partial_t \mathcal{F}$  $+ \left\| \hat{\gamma}^{a_1} \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \theta^{a} + \theta^{a} \partial_{\alpha} \hat{\Gamma}^{a} + \left\| \hat{\Gamma}^{a} \partial_{\alpha} S^{b} - (\chi + \frac{1}{2}) \left( \Gamma^{a} - \hat{\gamma}^{a} \Gamma_{a} \right) \partial_{\alpha} S^{b} \right\|$ 



$$\begin{split} \frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (\rho \mathbf{v}) &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial (\rho \mathbf{v})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( \left( p + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B}^2 \right) \mathbf{I} + \rho \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{B} \mathbf{B} \right) \\ &= -(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}) \mathbf{B} + \rho \mathbf{g}, \\ \frac{\partial E}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{v} \left( E + \frac{1}{2} \mathbf{B}^2 + p \right) - \mathbf{B} \left( \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{v} \right) \right) \\ &= -\mathbf{B} \cdot (\nabla \psi) - \nabla \cdot \left( (\eta \cdot \mathbf{J}) \times \mathbf{B} \right) + \rho \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{v}, \\ \frac{\partial \mathbf{B}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \left( \mathbf{B} \mathbf{v} - \mathbf{v} \mathbf{B} + \psi \mathbf{I} \right) = -\nabla \times (\eta \cdot \mathbf{J}), \\ \frac{\partial \psi}{\partial t} + c_h^2 \nabla \cdot \mathbf{B} = -\frac{c_h^2}{c_p^2} \psi, \\ \mathbf{J} = \nabla \times \mathbf{B}, \\ E = \frac{p}{(\gamma - 1)} + \frac{\rho \mathbf{v}^2}{2} + \frac{\mathbf{B}^2}{2}, \end{split}$$





Methods of solution



Brutal force: Works fine for system ruled by ODEs (for instance) Does NOT work for complicated PDEs

Genetic algorithms

Artificial Intelligence methods





### Inverse Problem 1: BBH ... the direct problem first

In a simulation one estimates the Weyl Psi4 scalar

It is decomposed in spherical harmonics and get the dominant modes

0.65





$$\begin{split} ds^2 &= -s^2 dt^2 + \gamma_{ij} (dz^i + \dot{g}^i dt) (dz^j + \dot{g}^j dt) \\ \hline g &= \frac{1}{22} [i\gamma - \dot{\gamma}_{ij} = e^{-4\alpha} \gamma_{ij} \\ K &= \gamma_{ij} K^{ij} - \dot{A}_{ij} = e^{-4\alpha} (K_{ij} - \frac{1}{2} \gamma_{ij} K) \\ \dot{\Gamma}^i &= \gamma^{ij} \dot{\Gamma}_{jk}^i = -\partial_i \dot{\gamma}^{ij} \\ \dot{G}_i &= C_{ij} (\dot{\alpha}_{ij} - -2\alpha \dot{A}_{ij} \\ (\partial_i - C_{ij}) \varphi &= -\frac{1}{2} \alpha K \\ (\partial_i - C_{ij}) \dot{\delta}_{ij} &= e^{-i\alpha} (-D_i D_j \alpha - \alpha R_{ij})^{22} - \alpha K \dot{A}_{ij} - 2\dot{A}_{ij} \dot{A}_{ij} ) \\ (\partial_i - L_j) K &= -D^j D_i \alpha + \alpha (\dot{A}_{ij} A^{ij} - \frac{1}{2} K^2) \\ \dot{\partial}_i \dot{\Gamma}^i &= 2\alpha (\dot{\Gamma}_{ji} \dot{A}^{ij} - \alpha \dot{A}^{ij} \partial_j \phi - \frac{3}{2} \gamma^{ij} \partial_j K) - 2\dot{A}^{ij} \partial_j \alpha + \dot{\gamma}^{ij} \partial_j \partial_j \varphi \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \dot{\gamma}^{ij} d_i \partial_i \beta^k + \beta^i \partial_j (\dot{\gamma} + \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\gamma} \partial_i \beta^j - (\chi + \frac{1}{2}) (\dot{\Gamma}^i - \dot{\gamma}^{ij} \Gamma_{ij}) \partial_i \beta ) \end{split}$$

$$h = h_{+} - ih_{\times} = \int_{-\infty}^{t} dt' \int_{-\infty}^{t'} dt'' \Psi_{4}$$



Yo we mi. (2002)

### Inverse Problem 1: BBH ... the direct problem first





### Direct problem: exploration a nightmare

![](_page_13_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

guzman@ifm.umich.mx

![](_page_13_Picture_10.jpeg)

 $\bigvee_{s_1} \uparrow_L \bigvee_{s_2}$ 

### Direct problem: kicks

Escape velocities: Globulares clusters 30Km/s dSph 20-100km/2 dE 100-300km/s giant galacies 1000km/2

![](_page_14_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_4.jpeg)

http://www.ifm.umich.mx

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Numerical Relativity: TOO SLOW - too expensive

PostNewtonian approximation: *leading order* 

$$h^{insp}(t) = \frac{4GM\eta}{D_L c^2} \left(\frac{GM}{c^3} \frac{d\phi}{dt}\right)^{2/3} \cos[2\phi(t)]$$

 $D_L$  es la distancia a la fuente  $\eta = \mu / M$ 

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

### Plus ringdown

### Ringdown

$$h(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} A_n e^{-i\sigma_n(t-t_{match})}$$

![](_page_16_Figure_3.jpeg)

### n overtone

- *N* number of overtones considered
- $A_n$  Amplitudes determining the MATCHING

$$\sigma_n = \omega_n - i\alpha_n$$
$$\omega > 0$$

son las frecuencias de oscilación

 $\sigma_n > 0$  son el inverso del tiempo de decaimien

These quantities depend on the MASS And SPIN of the *FINAL BLACK HOLE* 

![](_page_16_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_12.jpeg)

### Inverse Problem 1: BBH parameters from GW strain

![](_page_17_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_3.jpeg)

Parameter estimates in binary black hole collisions using neural networks M. Carrillo, M. Gracia-Linares, J. A. González, F. S. Guzmán Gen. Rel. Grav. 48, 141 (2016)

One parameter Binary Black Hole inverse problem using a sparse training set M. Carrillo, M. Gracia-Linares, J. A Gonzáles, F S Guzmán Int. J. Mod. Phys. D 27, 1850043 (2018)

![](_page_17_Picture_6.jpeg)

# Inverse Problem 2: kicked BH inverse problem

![](_page_18_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Of the cause Of the cause + model

![](_page_18_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

$$\begin{split} \vec{V}_{\text{recoil}}(q,\vec{\alpha}) &= v_m \, \hat{e}_1 + v_\perp(\cos\xi \, \hat{e}_1 + \sin\xi \, \hat{e}_2) + v_\parallel \, \hat{n}_\parallel, \\ v_m &= A \frac{\eta^2(1-q)}{(1+q)} \, [1+B \, \eta], \\ v_\perp &= H \frac{\eta^2}{(1+q)} \left[ (1+B_H \, \eta) \, (\alpha_2^{\parallel} - q \alpha_1^{\parallel}) + \, H_S \, \frac{(1-q)}{(1+q)^2} \, (\alpha_2^{\parallel} + q^2 \alpha_1^{\parallel}) \right] \\ v_\parallel &= K \frac{\eta^2}{(1+q)} \left[ (1+B_K \, \eta) \, \big| \alpha_2^{\perp} - q \alpha_1^{\perp} \big| \cos(\Theta_\Delta - \Theta_0) \right] \\ \text{Hole Einaries} &+ K_S \, \frac{(1-q)}{(1+q)^2} \, \big| \alpha_2^{\perp} + q^2 \alpha_1^{\perp} \big| \cos(\Theta_S - \Theta_1) \right], \end{split}$$

Remnant Masses, Spins and Recoils from the Merger of Ceneric Black-Hole Einaries

Carlos O. Lousto, Manuela Campanelli, Yose' Zlochower, Hiroyuki Nakano (RIT)

Comments: 15 pages, 2 figures. Rewritten and added new section.

journal-ref\_Class.Quant.Grav.27:114005,2010

![](_page_18_Picture_10.jpeg)

### Inverse Problem 3: QSO 3C 186 (radio-loud quasar)

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

### Best candidate for GW recoil kicked black hole

![](_page_19_Picture_3.jpeg)

11kpc off-set from center ... Broad emission lines -> -2140 \pm 390km/s

![](_page_19_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Bleeding edge**

![](_page_20_Picture_1.jpeg)

#### MODELING THE BLACK HOLE MERGER OF QSO 3C 186

CARLOS O.LOUSTO, YOSEF ZLOCHOWER, AND MANUELA CAMPANELLI Center for Computational Relativity and Gravitation,

and School of Mathematical Sciences, Rochester Institute of Technology, 85 Lomb Memorial Drive, Rochester, New York 14623 Draft version April 5, 2017

#### ABSTRACT

Recent detailed observations of the radio-loud quasar 3C 186 indicate the possibility that a supermassive recoiling black hole is moving away from the host galaxy at a speed of nearly 2100km/s. If this is the case, we can model the mass ratio and spins of the progenitor binary black hole using the results of numerical relativity simulations. We find that the black holes in the progenitor must have comparable masses with a mass ratio  $q = m_1/m_2 > 1/4$  and the spin of the primary black hole must be  $\alpha_2 = S_2/m_2^2 > 0.4$ . The final remnant of the merger is bounded by  $\alpha_f > 0.45$  and at least 4% of the total mass of the binary system is radiated into gravitational waves. We consider four different pre-merger scenarios that further narrow those values. Assuming, for instance, a cold accretion driven merger model, we find that the binary had comparable masses with  $q = 0.70^{+0.29}_{-0.21}$  and the normalized spins of the larger and smaller black holes were  $\alpha_2 = 0.94^{+0.06}_{-0.22}$  and  $\alpha_1 = 0.95^{+0.05}_{-0.09}$ . We can also estimate the final recoiling black hole spin  $\alpha_f = 0.93^{+0.02}_{-0.03}$  and that the system radiated  $9.6^{+0.8}_{-1.4}\%$  of its total mass, making the merger of those black holes the most energetic event ever observed. *Keywords:* supermassive black holes — binary merger — gravitational recoils

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Direct problem

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_3.jpeg)

► y

 $\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial F^{i}(u)}{\partial x^{i}} = S$ 

![](_page_21_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_21_Picture_7.jpeg)

Х

### A sample: 4 out of 900

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Ray tracing process

![](_page_23_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_2.jpeg)

black hole - disk system

Event Horizon Laur Stando Care, Solar

![](_page_23_Picture_5.jpeg)

# A little sample 2 out of 900

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

Characterizing the velocity of a wandering black hole and properties of the surrounding medium using <sup>os</sup> convolutional neural networks

J. A González, F. S. Guzmán Phys. Rev. D 97, 063001 (2018)

![](_page_24_Picture_4.jpeg)

0.4

°.6 ₽

0.9

0.8

0.7

0.6

0.5 0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

0.9

0.8 0.7

0.6

0.5

0.4

0.3

0.2

0.1

# We prepared a sample of 900 of these runs

![](_page_25_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

# GOAL

![](_page_26_Picture_1.jpeg)

Given an image track the following:

- Properties of the black hole candidates (spin, mass)
- Properties of the matter around (which are model dependent)
- These include equation of state -> degree of ionization
- Temperature feedbacks the scattering properties
- Opacities (both thermal and scattering)
- Magnetic fields

# QSO 3C 186

![](_page_26_Figure_10.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_11.jpeg)

-60 -40 -20 0 20 40 60 80 100

## Magnetized winds

![](_page_27_Picture_1.jpeg)

$$T^{\mu\nu} = (\rho h + b^2) u^{\mu} u^{\nu} + \left( p + \frac{b^2}{2} \right) g^{\mu\nu} - b^{\mu} b^{\nu}$$

![](_page_27_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_5.jpeg)

### MHD

![](_page_28_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_3.jpeg)

INSTITUTO DE FÍSICA

MHD2

15

X/M

25

### MHD

![](_page_29_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Figure_4.jpeg)

MHD3 - 0.0002893 7.014e-05 1.701e-05 4.124e-06 1.000e-06 5 Z/M -5 -15 -30 -20 -10 ò X/M

![](_page_29_Picture_6.jpeg)

### MHD

![](_page_30_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_4.jpeg)

Z/M

5

15

### Inverse Problem 4: EHT Inverse problem

![](_page_31_Picture_1.jpeg)

Spin and orientation -M is estimated from stars around

Is this a black hole?

Is General Relativity ruling there?

![](_page_31_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Picture_7.jpeg)

# Ray tracing process

![](_page_32_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

### Accretion

![](_page_33_Picture_1.jpeg)

• • •	Talks — guzman@draco5: +/grtrans/Neuras — ssh 148.216.53.233 — 349×52	
All News 2.2.8 Diamage	Alter destructedet des des Participations	
		1.11     1.01 <td< td=""></td<>
Accretio		58/17 Oct 12 2017 Temp.

### nu\_min = 7.495e10 # <- movelength of 4mm nu\_max = 3.527e11 # <- movelength of 0.85 mm

![](_page_33_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_5.jpeg)

# Accretion disks (s=0.85, orientation 45, 2)

![](_page_34_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_8.jpeg)

# Spherical distributions

![](_page_35_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Picture_8.jpeg)

### Power spectrum, s=0.95

![](_page_36_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_37_Picture_0.jpeg)

### Final comments

In order to solve an IP, one NEEDS to solve DIRECT PROBLEMS

Binary black holes have good data banks generated with PPN approximations

NS-BH Need the solution of the GRMHD equations (very expensive)

The addition of electromagnetic and neutrino radiation to GW sources is the multi-messenger astronomy, very expensive too... state of the art

Wandering black holes: simulations and observations needed

Shadows of black holes does not need that much power: observational technology is needed

### WHAT TO DO WITH SOME OF THIS TECHNOLOGY?

![](_page_37_Picture_9.jpeg)

### Template

![](_page_38_Picture_1.jpeg)

![](_page_38_Picture_2.jpeg)